

The combination of Cui and Brand fails to establish a case for obviousness. No *specific* motivation to make the combination of references is provided in the art and there was, prior to Applicants' invention, no expectation for a successful glucose nanosensor. The rejection completely fails to state a case for obviousness and must be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believes all claims now pending in this application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the claims are deemed not to be in condition for allowance after consideration of this Response, a telephone interview with the Examiner is hereby requested. Please telephone the undersigned at (510) 337-7871 to schedule an interview.

QUINE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP
P.O. BOX 458, Alameda, CA 94501
Tel: 510 337-7871
Fax: 510 337-7877
PTO Customer No.: **22798**
Deposit Account No.: **50-0893**

Respectfully submitted,



Jonathan Alan Quine
Reg. No: 41,261

Attachments:

- 1) A petition to extend the period of response for **2** months;
- 2) A transmittal sheet;
- 3) A fee transmittal sheet;
- 4) A receipt indication postcard.

Q:\Public\templates\uspto\amendment - new format 30July2003.doc